On the **Optimality Gap** of Warm-started Hyperparameter Optimization

Parikshit Ram IBM Research AI



Hyperparameter Optimization

Data domain & distribution $(x,y) \in X imes Y \sim D$

Per-sample loss $\ell: Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}_+$

Model for HP trained on data $f_{\theta,S}: X \to Y$

Loss for a HP configuration

$$L(\theta, D) := \mathbb{E}_{S \sim D^n} \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim D} \ell(y, f_{\theta, S}(x))$$

HPO problem $\min_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta, D)$

SMBO

Sequential Model Based Optimization

- <u>Generate Initial Design</u> of HPs
- Evaluate HPs
- Until budget expires
 - <u>Construct surrogate model</u> & acquisition function
 - Select next HP via AF maximization
 - Evaluate new HP
 - Add (HP, loss) to set of evaluated HPs
- Select best HP from evaluated set

Generate Initial Design



Acquisition Function

Maximization

Few-shot HPO

Very low-budget SMBO

- <u>Generate Initial Design</u> of HPs
- Evaluate HPs
- Until budget expires
 - <u>Construct surrogate model</u> & acquisition function
 - Select next HP via AF maximization
 - Evaluate new HP
 - Add (HP, loss) to set of evaluated HPs
- Select best HP from evaluated set

Generate Initial Design



Acquisition Function Maximization



Construct Surrogate Function



Meta-learning from Previous HPO Experiences

Source tasks:

- Evaluated HPs

 $\Phi_t, t \in [T]$

- Surrogate functions $s_t, t \in [T]$



Meta-learning from Previous HPO Experiences

Target task few-shot warm-started HPO

- Meta-learning small initial design
- Meta-learning pruned HP search space
- Transfer surrogate functions





Goal of Analysis & Pre-requisites

Optimality gap upper bound

$$L(\hat{\theta}, D) - L(\theta^{\star}, D)$$

 $\hat{ heta}$ HP from few-shot HPO

$heta^{\star}$ Optimal HP for problem

- Smooth per-sample loss w.r.t. label $\ell: Y \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
- Smooth loss w.r.t. HPs $|L(\theta, D) - L(\theta', D)| \le \gamma \cdot ||\theta - \theta'||$
- Smooth surrogate functions w.r.t. HPs $|s_t(\theta) - s_t(\theta')| \le \omega \cdot ||\theta - \theta'||$
- Quantify "domain-gap" between source & target $|L(\theta, D) L(\theta, D')|$

Quantifying Domain Gap

Domain gap bound $|L(\theta, D) - L(\theta, D')|$ $\leq \beta \cdot W_1 (P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D'))$

- Distribution of interest

$$(z_1, z_2) \sim P_{\theta}(D)$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, y) \sim D, S \sim D^n,$$

$$z_1 \leftarrow y, z_2 \leftarrow f_{\theta,S}(x)$$

- Domain gap is HP specific.
- No need for distance between different multidimensional data distributions of different sizes and dimensionalities; simple 1-Wasserstein distance suffices.

$$L(\hat{\theta}, D) - L(\theta^{\star}, D) \leq \tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \min_{t \in [T]} W_1(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t))\right)$$

$$\begin{split} L(\hat{\theta}, D) - L(\theta^{\star}, D) &\leq \tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \min_{t \in [T]} W_1(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t))\right) \\ \exists t \in [T], D \approx D_t \Rightarrow L(\hat{\theta}, D) \approx L(\theta^{\star}, D) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L(\hat{\theta}, D) - L(\theta^{\star}, D) &\leq \tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \min_{t \in [T]} W_1(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t))\right) \\ \exists t \in [T], D \approx D_t \Rightarrow L(\hat{\theta}, D) \approx L(\theta^{\star}, D) \\ L(\hat{\theta}, D) \approx L(\theta^{\star}, D) \Rightarrow \exists t \in [T], D \approx D_t \end{split}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}, D) - L(\theta^{\star}, D) \leq \tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \min_{t \in [T]} W_1(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t))\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \Theta_t \subset \Theta, t \in [T], \cup_{t \in [T]} \Theta_t &= \Theta, \\ \max_{\theta \in \Theta_t} W_1(P_\theta(D), P_\theta(D_t)) \approx 0 \\ &\Rightarrow L(\hat{\theta}, D) \approx L(\theta^\star, D) \end{aligned}$$

Possible to get zero optimality gap without requiring the target distribution to match one of the source distributions

Optimality Gap for Pruned Search Spaces

 $L(\hat{\theta}; D) - L(\theta^{\star}; D)$ $\leq \min_{t \in [T]: \phi_t \in \bar{\Theta}} \left(\gamma \cdot \max_{\theta \in \bar{\Theta}} \|\theta - \phi_t\| + 2\beta \cdot \max_{\theta \in \Theta} W_1(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t)) \right)$

- Smaller pruned spaces help

est possible

$$\tilde{O}\left(\min_{t\in[T]}\max_{\theta\in\Theta}W_1\left(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t)\right)\right)$$

- Zero optimality gap only if target distribution matches one of the source distributions
- Weaker than

– Be

$$\tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\min_{t\in[T]}W_1(P_\theta(D),P_\theta(D_t))\right)$$

Optimality Gap for Pruned Search Spaces



Meta-learned Initial Design Meta-learned Bounding Box Meta-learning Convex Hull

Optimality Gap for Surrogate Transfer

 $s(\theta) := \sum_{t \in [T]} \alpha_t(\theta) \cdot s_t(\theta) - \text{Weights}$ $\cdot \text{ Fixed} \quad \alpha_t(\theta) = \frac{1}{T} \forall \theta \in \Theta, \forall t \in [T]$ $\cdot \text{ Adaptive } \alpha_t(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, \quad t = \arg \max_{j \in [T]} s_t(\theta) \\ 0, \quad \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

$$L(\hat{\theta}; D) - L(\theta^{\star}; D)$$

$$\leq 2 \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t \in [T]} \alpha_t(\theta) \left(\beta \cdot W_1\left(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t)\right) + |L(\theta, D_t) - s_t(\theta)|\right)$$

Optimality Gap for Surrogate Transfer

 $L(\hat{\theta}; D) - L(\theta^{\star}; D)$ $\leq 2 \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t \in [T]} \alpha_t(\theta) \left(\beta \cdot W_1\left(P_{\theta}(D), P_{\theta}(D_t)\right) + \left|L(\theta, D_t) - s_t(\theta)\right|\right)$

- Depends on smoothness and approximation ability of surrogate functions
- Best achievable

$$\tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\sum_{t\in[T]}\alpha_t(\theta)W_1(P_\theta(D),P_\theta(D_t))\right)$$

- Not necessarily better than pruned HP space for fixed weights
- Can match best possible

$$\tilde{O}\left(\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\min_{t\in[T]}W_1(P_\theta(D),P_\theta(D_t))\right)$$

if and only if **weights are adaptive and set appropriately**

$$\alpha_t(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \arg\min_{j \in [T]} W_1(P_\theta(D), P_\theta(D_j)) \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Conclusion

Novel theoretical framework for warm-started few-shot HPO

- Allows understanding of existing meta-learning schemes
- Produces novel insights in terms of the domaingap and comparison of existing schemes

Role of meta-features

Effect of multi-fidelity evaluation

New warm-started HPO schemes to approach the best possible optimality gap bounds Thank you

Parikshit Ram IBM Research

Parikshit.Ram@ibm.com

© Copyright IBM Corporation 2022. All rights reserved. The information contained in these materials is provided for informational purposes only, and is provided ASIS without warranty of any kind, express or implied. Any statement of direction represents IBM's current intent, is subject to change or withdrawal, and represent only goals and objectives. IBM, the IBM logo, and ibm.com are trademarks of IBM Corp., registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product and service names might be trademarks of IBM or other companies. A current list of IBM trademarks is available at <u>Copyright and trademark information</u>.

AutoML 2022 / Baltimore, USA / © 2022 IBM Corporation

